# Monitors

## Semaphores : Disadvantages

- Semaphores Are Not Always Convenient
- **Example** (adopted from the slides by Kai Li, Computer Science Department, Princeton University)
  - A shared queue has Enqueue and Dequeue:

```
Enqueue(q, item) Dequeue(q)
{
   Acquire(mutex); Acquire(mutex);
   put item into q; take an item from q;
   Release(mutex); Release(mutex);
   return item;
}
```

## Semaphores : Disadvantages

- **Problem 1 :** It is a consumer and producer problem, **Dequeue(q)** should block until q is not empty. Results in a lot of waits and signals
- **Problem 2 :** What happens when a programming error changes the order of the wait and signal, or use duplicates wait or signal?

## **Solution - Monitors**

- Higher level solution than Semaphores
- May use semaphore as a low level implementation
- Main theme : hide mutual exclusion!
- Main theme : provide concurrency support in compiler

# Monitors

- Consists of -
  - Shared Private Data– cannot be accessed from outside but shared among threads
  - Procedures that operate on the data Gateway to the resource can only act on data local to the monitor
  - Synchronization primitives among threads that access the procedures

# Structure of a Monitor

```
Monitor monitor name
                                                   For example:
{
      // shared variable declarations
                                                   Monitor stack
                                                   {
      procedure P1(. . . .) {
                                                          int top;
                                                          void push(any t *) {
          . . . .
      }
                                                              . . . .
                                                          }
      procedure P2(. . . .) {
                                                          any t * pop() {
           . . . .
      }
                                                              . . . .
      .
                                                          }
      procedure PN(. . . .) {
                                                          initialization code() {
           . . . .
      }
                                                              . . . .
                                                          }
                                                   }
      initialization_code(. . . .) {
           . . . .
      }
}
                   **(adopted from CS 4410 of Cornell University)
```

#### Schematic View of a Monitor



# Conditions

- How to develop more complicated synchronization solution?
- Solution : provide wait and signal capability to monitors
- Condition variables can be defined inside monitors
- Wait and signal can be called on these variables
- Condition variables can be considered as a queue inside monitors

#### Monitors and Conditions Example

procedure Producer begin while true do begin produce an item ProdCons.Enter(); end; end; procedure Consumer begin while true do begin

ProdCons.Remove(); consume an item; end;

end;

```
monitor ProdCons
  condition full, empty;
  procedure Enter;
  begin
    if (buffer is full)
      wait(full);
    put item into buffer;
    if (only one item)
      signal(empty);
end;
```

procedure Remove; begin if (buffer is empty) wait(empty); remove an item; if (buffer was full) signal(full); end;

(adopted from the slides by Kai Li, Computer Science Department, Princeton University)

#### Schematic view of Monitor with Condition Variables



## **Condition Variables - Wait and Signal Schemes**

- Consider P and Q processes using a condition variable x
- Q calls wait(x) and then P calls signal(x)
- Two scenarios can happen -
  - Signal and wait. P either waits until Q leaves the monitor or waits for another condition
  - Signal and continue. Q either waits until P leaves the monitor or waits for another condition
- P was already executing in the monitor, the signal and continue method seems more reasonable
- But, if we allow thread P to continue, then by the time Q is resumed, the logical condition for which Q was waiting may no longer hold
- Solution is to compromise when thread P executes the signal operation, it immediately leaves the monitor. Hence, Q is immediately resumed

# **Resuming Processes within a Monitor**

- FCFS order
- More complicated ordering conditional wait
- Conditional wait x.wait(c), where c is the priority number
- When x.signal() is executed, the process with the smallest priority number is resumed

#### **Resuming Processes within a Monitor**

```
monitor ResourceAllocator
  boolean busy;
  condition x;
  void acquire(int time) {
                                         R.acquire(t);
     if (busy)
       x.wait(time);
                                            access the resource;
     busy = true;
                                              ...
                                         R.release();
  void release() {
     busy = false;
     x.signal();
  initialization_code() {
     busy = false;
```