# k-Nearest Neighbors

# Nearest Neighbor Example



If the nearest instance to the previously unseen instance is a Katydid class is Katydid else class is Grasshopper

KatydidsGrasshoppers

# What is Needed for Nearest Neighbor



### Three things are needed

- Set of stored training records
- Distance metric
- # of nearest neighbors k

### To classify unknown record

- Compute distance to every training record
- Identify *k* nearest neighbors
- Determine classification using the k nearest neighbors
  - Using majority vote or weighted vote

# Nearest Neighbor is Lazy Learner

### Most Learners are Eager

- Work is done up-front
  - Generate an explicit description of target function
  - That is build a model from the training data

### Lazy Learner

- Does not build a model: work is deferred
- Learning phase
  - Just store the training data
- Testing Phase
  - Essentially all work is done when classifying the example.
  - No explicit model but rather implicit in the data and metrics

# Assessing Similarity Not Easy

# Issues with Different Scales

Examples below described by 3 numeric features



John: Age = 35 Income = 35,000 No. of credit cards = 3



Rachel: Age = 22 Income = 50,000 No. of credit cards = 2

### "Closeness" defined in terms of the distance

- Euclidean distance: square root of sum of the squared differences
- Distance(John, Rachel) = sqrt[(35-22)<sup>2</sup>+(35K-50K)<sup>2</sup>+(3-2)<sup>2</sup>]

### Problem: income dominates due to scale

• Solution: rescale features to uniform range

# **Issues with Different Scales**



X axis measured in **centimeters** Y axis measure in dollars The nearest neighbor to the **pink** unknown instance is



X axis measured in **millimeters** Y axis measure in dollars

The nearest neighbor to the **pink** unknown instance is **blue**.

Use z-normalization so feature values have a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1. Can use this formula: X = (X – mean(X))/std(X)

# Irrelevant Features

If each example described by 20 attributes but only 2 are relevant

 Examples with identical values for the 2 attributes may still be distant in 20dimensional instance space

# How to mitigate irrelevant features?

- Use more training instances
  - Harder to obscure patterns
- Ask an expert which features are irrelevant and drop
- Use statistical tests (prune irrelevant features)
- Search feature subsets



- We obtain a Voronoi diagram:
- The space is partitioned into regions
- The separating borders pass through areas where the distances between training sample pairs are equal
- The separating borders are nonlinear

# 1-NN versus k-NN

# 1-NN versus k-NN



# The underlying hypothesis of k-NN



- The training data and the test data are sampled from the same distribution
- Becomes unlikely for a representative pattern in the training set to be absent in the test set

Training data

**Testing data** 



• k = 1 error = 0.0

### Training data

### **Testing data**





• k = 3 error = 0.0760

### Training data

### **Testing data**





• k = 7 error = 0.1320

### Training data

### **Testing data**





• 
$$k = 21$$
 error = 0.1120

# What do we need for a memorybased classifier?

- A DISTANCE FUNCTION:
- The Euclidean distance
- The Edit (Levenstein) distance
- > The Hamming distance
- HOW MANY NEIGHBORS SHOULD WE CONSIDER?
- HOW DO WE "TRAIN" THE MODEL ON THE EXAMPLES FROM THE VICINITY?

# Let's consider a particular 1-NN

# The Euclidean distance (L<sub>2</sub>)

For the vectors x = (5, 1, 3, 0) and y = (2, 1, 4, 1), we have:

$$d_{L_2}(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2}$$
  
=  $\sqrt{(5 - 2)^2 + (1 - 1)^2 + (3 - 4)^2 + (0 - 1)^2}$   
=  $\sqrt{9 + 1 + 1} = \sqrt{11}$   
\approx 3.32

# The Manhattan distance (L<sub>1</sub>)



• For the vectors x = (5, 1, 3, 0) and y = (2, 1, 4, 1), we have:

 $d_{L_1}(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + \dots + |x_n - y_n|$ = |5 - 2| + |1 - 1| + |3 - 4| + |0 - 1| = 3 + 1 + 1 = 5

# The Minkowski distance (L<sub>p</sub>)

• For the vectors  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ , we have:

$$d_{L_p}(x, y) = \sqrt[p]{|x_1 - y_1|^p} + \dots + |x_n - y_n|^p$$

- The Minkowski distance is a generalization for the Euclidean distance (p = 2) and the Manhattan distance (p = 1)
- If p < 1, then  $d_{L_{p<1}}$  is no longer a distance. The triangle inequality is violated for x = (0,0), y = (1,1) and z = (0,1):

$$d_{L_{p<1}}(x,y) > d_{L_{p<1}}(x,z) + d_{L_{p<1}}(z,y)$$

# The Hamming distance

- Useful, for instance, when the samples are represented by categorical features or when the samples are DNA sequences
- For the vectors x = (A, G, T, C) and y = (G, G, T, A), we have:

$$d_{Hamming}(x, y) = 1 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 2$$

• We are counting how many features (components) are different among the two vectors

# The Edit (Levenstein) distance

- Useful, for instance, when the samples are strings (text documents, DNA sequences) or temporal sequences (videos)
- The distance is given by the number of changes (insert, delete, replace) necessary to transform one object into the other
- For video sequences, we use Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)

# 1-NN for regression tasks



# k-NN for regression tasks



• k-NN regression algorithm:

1) For each test sample x, we find the nearest k neighbors and their labels

2) The output is the mean of the labels of the k neighbors:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i$$

# Advantages and properties of k-NN

- k-NN is a very simple model
- Can be directly applied to multi-class problems
- The decision boundary is non-linear
- The quality of the results grows with the number of training samples
- We have a single parameter that requires tuning (k)
- The training error grows with k, but the decision boundary becomes smoother:

# **Disadvantages of k-NN**

- What does nearest mean? We have to define a distance
- Is the Euclidean distance always the best choice?
- The computational cost is quite high: we need to store and pass through the whole training set during inference (at test time)

Height (in cms)	Weight (in kgs)	T Shirt Size
158	58	М
158	59	М
158	63	М
160	59	М
160	60	м
163	60	м
163	61	м
160	64	L
163	64	L
165	61	L
165	62	L
165	65	L
168	62	L
168	63	L
168	66	L
170	63	L
170	64	L
170	68	L

Suppose we have height, weight and T-shirt size of some customers and we need to predict the T-shirt size of a new customer given only height and weight information.

Height (in cms)	Weight (in kgs)	T Shirt Size
158	58	м
158	59	м
158	63	м
160	59	м
160	60	м
163	60	м
163	61	Μ
160	64	L
163	64	L
165	61	L
165	62	L
165	65	L
168	62	L
168	63	L
168	66	L
170	63	L
170	64	L
170	68	L

**Step 1 : Calculate Similarity based on distance function** 

New customer named 'Monica' has height 161cm and weight 61kg. Euclidean distance between first observation and new observation (monica) is as follows -

### =SQRT((161-158)^2+(61-58)^2)

Similarly, we will calculate distance of all the training cases with new case and calculates the rank in terms of distance. The smallest distance value will be ranked 1 and considered as nearest neighbor.

Height (in cms)	Weight (in kgs)	T Shirt Size		
158	58	М	Ş	S
158	59	м	_	
158	63	м	0	
160	59	м		ŀ
160	60	м	1	(i
163	60	м	2	
163	61	м	4	
			5	
160	64	L	6	
163	64	L	7	
165	61	L	8	
			10	
165	62	L	11	
165	65	L	12	
168	62	1	13	
		-	14	
168	63	L	15	
168	66	L	16	
			17	
170	63	L	18	
170	64	L	19	
			20	
170	68	L	21	

### Step 2 : Find K-Nearest Neighbors, Let K be 5



M

L

Height (in cms)	Weight (in kgs)	T Shirt Size
158	58	М
158	59	м
158	63	м
160	59	м
160	60	м
163	60	М
163	61	м
160	64	L
163	64	L
165	61	L
165	62	L
165	65	L
168	62	L
168	63	L
168	66	L
170	63	L
170	64	L
170	68	L

### If Standardized:

In order to make them comparable we need to standardize them which can be done by any of the following methods :

$$Xs = \frac{X - mean}{s. d.}$$
$$Xs = \frac{X - mean}{max - min}$$
$$Xs = \frac{X - min}{max - min}$$

Height (in cms)	Weight (in kgs)	T Shirt Size
158	58	М
158	59	М
158	63	М
160	59	М
160	60	м
163	60	м
163	61	М
160	64	L
163	64	L
165	61	L
165	62	L
165	65	L
168	62	L
168	63	L
168	66	L
170	63	L
170	64	L
170	68	L

f	Sta	nda	rdi	zed	
					-

	А	В	С	D	Е
1	Height (in cms)	Weight (in kgs)	T Shirt Size	Distance	
2	-1.39	-1.64	м	1.3	
3	-1.39	-1.27	м	1.0	
4	-1.39	0.25	м	1.0	
5	-0.92	-1.27	м	0.8	4
6	-0.92	-0.89	м	0.4	1
7	-0.23	-0.89	м	0.6	3
8	-0.23	-0.51	м	0.5	2
9	-0.92	0.63	L	1.2	
10	-0.23	0.63	L	1.2	
11	0.23	-0.51	L	0.9	5
12	0.23	-0.13	L	1.0	
13	0.23	1.01	L	1.8	
14	0.92	-0.13	L	1.7	
15	0.92	0.25	L	1.8	
16	0.92	1.39	L	2.5	
17	1.39	0.25	L	2.2	
18	1.39	0.63	L	2.4	
19	1.39	2.15	L	3.4	
20					
21	-0.7	-0.5			

# Thank You.

Acknowledgement: Radu Ionescu, Prof. PhD.